

THST 616, Doctrine of God

Spring 2025 March 3-6, 2025

John C. Peckham, Ph.D.

SYNOPSIS OF THE COURSE

CLASS & CONTACT INFORMATION

Class location: NAD Headquarters, Columbia, MD

Class meeting times: Monday-Thursday: 8:00am-12:00pm; 1:30pm-5:00pm

Course Website: learninghub.andrews.edu

Instructor Email: jpeckham@andrews.edu

BULLETIN DESCRIPTION OF COURSE

A study of the nature and attributes of God and His relation to the world. Consideration of divine foreknowledge, predestination, and providence; the Trinity; God as person.

PROGRAM & COURSE LEARNING OUTCOMES

Your degree program seeks to help you achieve the **Program Learning Outcomes** basic to your chosen profession. Your Program Learning Outcome primarily addressed in this course is:

- MA in Pastoral Ministry (MAPM): Demonstrate proper biblical interpretation skills
- MDiv: Scholarship Manifests the practices of a Biblical scholar-theologian engaging the Bible, Christian/Adventist heritage and professional resources with theological maturity for personal growth and for facilitating the theological competence of others.

(The full set of program learning outcomes for your degree program is listed in Appendix # 3.)

The following **Course Learning Outcomes** contribute to the overall Program Learning Outcomes by identifying the key learnings to be achieved by diligent work in this course:

- 1. Think carefully about the nature, characteristics, and acts of God according to Scripture, especially as understood by Seventh-day Adventists.
- 2. Engage historical and contemporary theological issues while maintaining faithfulness to the canonical text.
- 3. Articulate the current debate regarding the doctrine of God against the background of its historical and philosophical causes.
- 4. Analyze and evaluate various Christian perspectives on the doctrine of God.
- 5. Form a personal, biblical, and theologically sound approach to the doctrine of God, with recognition of the central role this doctrine holds for the whole of theology.
- 6. Discern the implications and repercussions of various traditional philosophical and theological presuppositions in relationship to the doctrine of God.
- 7. Scholarship: Understand and clearly communicate deep systematic connections between foundational Christian doctrines [NAD MDiv SLO 1]
- 8. Scholarship: Demonstrate the ability and confidence to intelligently converse on various theological topics related to foundational Adventist doctrines with non-Adventists [NAD MDiv SLO 2]
- Scholarship: Critiques other traditions and worldviews in light of Scripture and of the full body of Adventist doctrine, including attention to the impact of these various ideas on daily life [NAD MDiv SLO 3]
- 10. Management: Demonstrates growing proficiency in written communication skills, through persuasive academic assignments and papers [NAD MDiv SLO 4]

COURSE OVERVIEW

COURSE MATERIALS

Required Course Materials

Peckham, John C. *Divine Attributes: Knowing the Covenantal God of Scripture* (Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2021).

Peckham, John C. *Theodicy of Love: Cosmic Conflict and the Problem of Evil* (Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2018).

Peckham, John C. Why We Pray: Understanding Prayer in the Context of Cosmic Conflict (Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2024).

Selected readings accessed via Learning Hub (Moodle).

Recommended Course Materials

Gulley, Norman. *Systematic Theology: God as Trinity* (Berrien Springs, Mich.: Andrews University Press, 2011.

Peckham, John C. The Doctrine of God: Introducing the Big Questions (London: T&T Clark, 2019).

For ISBN and price information, please see the listing at the Bookstore www.andrews.edu/bookstore.

PRE-INTENSIVE COURSE REQUIREMENTS

NOTE: Each pre-intensive assignment has a due date by which the student is expected to complete the assignment. Each student <u>must</u> complete the pre-intensive reading reports and lecture viewing reports before attending the intensive sessions March 3-6.

1. Pre-Intensive Reading Reports and Discussion Preparation

You will complete the various assigned readings listed below (either sections from required textbooks or other readings accessible on LearningHub) and turn in one reading report for each of the reading assignments.

It is essential that you have completed these readings and reading reports before we begin our meetings on March 3 because essential in-class discussions will be based upon this pre-class preparation. All reading reports are due via LearningHub by February 20, 2025.

The procedure and format for your reading report is as follows: list your name, the pages and time spent reading, three significant discussion questions based on the reading, and three points of useful/interesting information. Finally, write one brief paragraph summarizing your critical evaluation of the reading (in approximately 100 words, see reading report rubric at the end of the syllabus). Your three questions should be of the type that evoke discussion and not merely yes/no or simple information questions. Make sure that you keep and bring to class a copy of your three discussion questions and points of useful/interesting information and have access to an electronic or hard copy of the readings during class. These will be used during dedicated times for discussion.

Please note that you should include only one reading report for each reading report assignment listed below even if that assignment includes more than one book section/article to be read. All reading assignments below are either from the assigned textbooks (Divine Attributes or Theodicy of Love) or are available as pdfs posted on Learning Hub.

Reading Report 1: Peckham, Divine Attributes, chapter 1; Canale, "Doctrine of God," 140-148

Reading Report 2: Peckham, Divine Attributes, chapter 2; González, Mañana, chapter 6 excerpt

Reading Report 3: Peckham, Divine Attributes, chapter 3; Peckham, "The Passionate Potter"

Reading Report 4: Peckham, Divine Attributes, chapter 5; Donkor, "Predestination"

Reading Report 5: Peckham, Divine Attributes, chapter 4; Donkor, "Open Theism"

Reading Report 6: Peckham, Theodicy of Love, chapters 2 and 3

Reading Report 7: Peckham, Theodicy of Love, chapters 4 and 5

Reading Report 8: Peckham, Divine Attributes, chapter 7; Pfandl, "Trinity Among SDAs"

2. Sessions Attendance and Participation

Faithful attendance, preparation, and participation are essential to your success in this class and vital to the educational experience of your fellow students. You are expected to show evidence of your pre-class preparation by actively contributing in discussions. Be sure you attend class faithfully and plan to be active in participation.

POST-INTENSIVE COURSE REQUIREMENTS

1. Post-Intensive Lecture/Seminar Viewing and Report

Watch 10 hours of lectures/seminars online (the links will be posted on LearningHub). Based on your viewing of these lectures, you will complete a viewing report, the format of which is as follows: list your name and the number of videos you watched in their entirety. Then list three points of useful/interesting information for each of the hours that you view. This is due on April 3, 2025.

2. Post-Intensive Additional Reading Report

Read the entirety of Peckham, Why We Pray: Understanding Prayer in the Context of Cosmic Conflict. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2024. After reading this book, you will turn in a reading report on LearningHub that states the chapters you have carefully read for this assignment (you do not need to read the footnotes) and provides a list of ten points of interesting/useful information. This reading report is due on April 10, 2025.

3. Theology for the Church Project

You will prepare a plan for implementing a program to introduce church members to the doctrine of God, with the aim of making some aspect of the doctrine of God accessible and practicable in your local church. This project is due on <u>April 17, 2025</u>.

You do <u>not</u> need to prepare all of the theological material or presentations and other materials that would be required to actually conduct the envisioned program, but instead will be asked to provide an annotated outline and plan of action for implementing such a project in future ministry. This project should be completed in three steps, but you need only turn in the final project, consisting of the elements listed in step 3 (see also the rubric in the back of this syllabus).

Step 1: Prepare a topic and purpose statement for your project and a statement regarding your target audience. What will be the topic/main thrust of your program for the local church setting

you envision? Who is your audience? Brainstorm about how to reach this audience and accomplish your purpose.

Step 2: Prepare a rough draft of the outline and projected schedule of the program and a rough draft of your 3-4 paragraph methodology statement answering the following question: How will this topic be translated and conveyed to the church at the level of average church members that you target? Be creative, but do not lose focus on the content you hope to bring church members to a level of competence in.

Step 3: Final Project, consisting of a topic and purpose statement, a brief discussion of the audience you are targeting, a 3-4 paragraph methodology statement as to how the topic will be translated and conveyed to the church at the level of average church members that you target, an annotated outline and projected schedule of the program itself, and a selected bibliography of materials that you will draw from and may recommend to church members.

OUTLINE OF TOPICS AND ASSIGNMENTS

Course topics and assignments have been selected to contribute to learning and evaluating these Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs) as follows:

Date	Торіс	Assignment Due	CLOs Addressed
Pre- Intensive	Reading and Reading Reports IT IS <u>ESSENTIAL</u> THAT YOU COMPLETE THESE BEFORE OUR SESSIONS BEGIN (due 2/20/25)	Read and Review Syllabus Reading Reports 1-8, ALL due February 20, 2025.	CLO 1-4, 6- 9
March 3 morning	Lecture 1. Introduction/Divine Love Discussion of Reading Report 1 Lecture 2. Is God Impassible? Part 1	Discussion over: Peckham, <i>Divine Attributes</i> , chapter 1 Canale, "Doctrine of God," 140-148	CLO 1-4, 6- 9
March 3 afternoon	Lecture 3. Is God Impassible? Part 2 Discussion of Reading Report 2	Discussion over: Peckham, <i>Divine Attributes</i> , chapter 2 González, <i>Mañana</i> , chapter 6 excerpt	CLO 1-4, 6- 10

Date	Торіс	Assignment Due	CLOs Addressed
March 4 morning	Lecture 4. Is God Immutable	Discussion over:	CLO 1-4, 6- 10
	Lecture 5. Is God Timeless, and Spaceless?	Peckham, <i>Divine Attributes</i> , chapter 3 Peckham, "The Passionate Potter and the Contingent Clay"	
	Discussion of Reading Report 3		
March 4 Afternoon	Lecture 6. Is God Omnipotent?	Discussion over:	CLO 1-4, 6- 10
	Discussion of Reading Report 4	Peckham, <i>Divine Attributes</i> , chapter 5 Donkor, "Predestination"	
March 5 morning	Lecture 7. Does God Determine History? Lecture 8. Is God Omniscient? Discussion of Reading Report 5	Discussion over: Peckham, <i>Divine Attributes</i> , chapter 4 Donkor, "Open Theism"	CLO 1-4, 6- 10
March 5 afternoon	Lecture 9. Theodicy – Part 1 Discussion of Reading Report 6	Discussion over: Peckham, <i>Theodicy of Love</i> , chapters 2 <u>and</u> 3	CLO 1-4, 6- 10
March 6 morning	Lecture 10. Theodicy – Part 2 Discussion of Reading Report 7 Lecture 11. The Trinity – Part 1	Discussion over: Peckham, <i>Theodicy of Love</i> , chapters 4 <u>and</u> 5	CLO 1-10
March 6 afternoon	Lecture 12a. The Trinity – Part 2	Discussion over:	CLO 1-4, 6- 10

Date	Topic	Assignment Due	CLOs Addressed
	Discussion of Reading Report 8	Peckham, <i>Divine Attributes</i> , chapter 7 Pfandl, "Trinity Among SDAs" Donkor, "Eternal Subordination of Jesus?"	
Post- Intensive	View additional lectures/seminars (posted on Learning Hub) Complete Additional Reading and Reading Report	Post-Intensive Lecture Viewing and Report, due April 3, 2025 Post-Intensive Additional Reading Report (over Peckham, The Love of God: A Canonical Model), due April 10, 2025	CLO 1-10
	Complete Theology for the Church Project	Theology for the Church Project, due April 17, 2025.	

MORE ABOUT THE COURSE

TIME EXPECTATIONS FOR THE COURSE

US Credit-Hour Regulations

For every semester credit, the Andrews University credit hour definition requires that:

- Courses for professional masters' degrees (e.g. MDiv) include 15 instructor contact hours and 30 hours of independent learning activities.
- Courses for academic masters' (e.g. MA [Religion]) and all doctoral degrees include 15 instructor contact hours, and 45 hours of independent learning activities.

The calculation of hours is based on the study skills of the average well-prepared graduate student. Students weak in these skills: 1) may require more time and should consider taking fewer classes each semester; and 2) can find skill development assistance through the Seminary Study and Research Skills Colloquia, the AU Writing Center, and AU Student Success office.

In order to achieve the outcomes of this course, learning time will be distributed as follows:

	Professional Masters' Programs					
	3 Credits					
Instructor Contact Hours	Intensive (Face to Face) Instructional Time	30 hrs				
	Other Instructor-Directed Online Activities					
	Post-Intensive Lecture Viewing and Reports	15 hrs				

	Pre-Intensive Reading and Reading Reports	45 hrs
Independent Learning Activities	Post-Intensive Additional Reading and Reading Report	25 hrs
	Theology for the Church Project	20 hrs
Total Hours:		135

GUIDELINES FOR COURSE ASSIGNMENTS

Grades are based on the independent learning activities below which provide practice toward, and assessment of, the learning outcomes of this course. The grade weighting for each assignment is provided in the right-hand column. Specific due dates are given in the Course Overview above.

Assignment Description and Weighting	MAPM – 3cr.
Pre-Intensive Reading Reports and Discussion Preparation	
You will complete the various assigned readings listed earlier in the syllabus (see the pre-intensive course requirements section) and turn in one reading report for each of the reading assignments. Eight regarding reports in all. These reading reports are all due by <u>February 20, 2025</u> . Students must complete these assignments before the intensive sessions begin.	40%
The procedure and format for your reading report is as follows: list your name, the pages and time spent reading, three significant discussion questions based on the reading, and three points of useful/interesting information. Finally, write one brief paragraph summarizing your critical evaluation of the reading (in approximately 100 words, see reading report rubric at the end of the syllabus). Your three questions should be of the type that evoke discussion and not merely yes/no or simple information questions. Make sure that you keep and bring to class a copy of your three discussion questions and points of useful/interesting information and have access to an electronic or hard copy of the readings during class. These will be used during dedicated times for discussion.	
Post-Intensive Lecture/Seminar Viewing and Report	

Watch 10 hours of lectures/seminars online (the links will be posted on LearningHub). Based on your viewing of these lectures, you will complete a viewing report, the format of which is as follows: list your name and the number of videos you watched in their entirety. Then list three points of useful/interesting information for each of the hours that you view. This is due on April 3, 2025 .	15%
Post-Intensive Additional Reading Report	
Read chapters 3-9 from Peckham, <i>The Love of God: A Canonical Model</i> . Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic, 2015. After reading these chapters, you will turn in a reading report on LearningHub that states the chapters you have carefully read for this assignment (you do not need to read the footnotes) and provides a list of ten points of interesting/useful information. This reading report is due on April 10, 2025 .	15%
Theology for the Church Project	
You will prepare a plan for implementing a program to introduce church members to the doctrine of God, with the aim of making the theology of the doctrine of God accessible and practicable in your local church. This project is due on April 17, 2025 .	
You do <u>not</u> need to prepare all of the theological material or presentations and other materials that would be required to actually conduct the envisioned program, but instead will be asked to provide an annotated outline and plan of action for implementing such a project in future ministry.	
The final Project will consist of a topic and purpose statement, a brief discussion of the audience you are targeting, a 3-4 paragraph methodology statement as to how the topic will be translated and conveyed to the church at the level of average church members that you target, an annotated outline and projected schedule of the program itself, and a selected bibliography of materials that you will draw from and may recommend to church members (see the rubric in the back of this syllabus).	30%

^{*} For grading rubrics that specify grading criteria in more detail, see Appendices. In order to make grading fair for everyone, grades will be assigned on the basis of the above requirements alone. No individual arrangements will be made for those requesting last minute grade adjustment or extra credit.

(The AU Bulletin states that: "An Incomplete (I) indicates that the student's work is incomplete because of illness or unavoidable circumstances and not because of negligence or inferior performance. Students will be charged an incomplete fee for each incomplete grade issued." DGs are not an option for most types of courses.)

Submission of Assignments

All assignments are to be submitted via Learning Hub (aka Moodle) by the date and time listed in the assignment description.

Late Submission

No credit will be available for late assignments, absent extenuating circumstances.

ABOUT YOUR INSTRUCTOR

Dr. John C. Peckham, Research Professor of Theology and Christian Philosophy at the Seventh-day Adventist Theological Seminary pastored in the Indiana Conference of Seventh-day Adventists before being called to teach in the Religion Department at Southwestern Adventist University, where he received the Educator of the Year Award in 2012. In 2013, John was called to teach at the Theological Seminary of Andrews University and received the Daniel A. Augsburger Excellence in Teaching Award in 2016 and the Siegfried H. Horn Excellence in Research and Creative Scholarship Award in 2019. Since 2023, John has also served as associate editor of Adventist Review. John graduated from Atlantic Union College (B.S. Accounting; B.S. Business Administration) and Andrews University (M.Div., Emphasis in Systematic Theology; Ph.D.,



Systematic Theology). His dissertation, "The Concept of Divine Love in the Context of the God-World Relationship" won the outstanding dissertation award, published by Peter Lang in 2014. An additional monograph on divine love, The Love of God: A Canonical Model, was published by IVP Academic in Fall 2015 and won IVP's 2015 Readers' Choice Award. Other books Peckham has written include Canonical Theology: The Biblical Canon, Sola Scriptura, and Theological Method (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2016); Theodicy of Love: Cosmic Conflict and the Problem of Evil was published (Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2018); The Doctrine of God: Introducing the Big Questions (London: T&T Clark, 2019); Divine Attributes: Knowing the Covenantal God of Scripture (Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2021); God with Us: An Introduction to Adventist Theology (Berrien Springs, MI: Andrews University Press, 2023); Why We Pray: Understanding Prayer in the Context of Cosmic Conflict (Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2024); God's Love and Justice (Nampa, ID: Pacific Press, 2024). Articles John has written have appeared in Religions, The Journal of Analytic Theology, The Bulletin for Biblical Research, Philosophia Christi, Trinity Journal, Themelios, Andrews University Seminary Studies, the Mid-America Journal of Theology, Didaskalia, the Journal of the Adventist Theological Society, Adventist World, Adventist Review, Perspective Digest, and Ministry Magazine. John is married to an amazing woman, Brenda, who is a Registered Nurse and they have one son, Joel, their pride and joy. John's passion is studying and proclaiming the beauty of the biblical conceptual framework and the incomparable love of God that it manifests.

OTHER COURSE-RELATED POLICIES

Attendance (adapted from the AU bulletin)

Regular attendance is required at all classes and other academic appointments. When the total number

of absences exceeds 10% of the total course appointments, the teacher may assign a failing grade. Merely being absent from campus does not exempt the student from this policy. Absences recorded because of late registration, suspension, and early/late vacation leaves are not excused. The class work missed may be made up only if the teacher allows. Three tardies are equal to one absence.

Regular attendance is required at all classes and other academic appointments. When the total number of absences exceeds 10% of the total course appointments, the teacher may assign a failing grade. Merely being absent from campus does not exempt the student from this policy. Absences recorded because of late registration, suspension, and early/late vacation leaves are not excused. The class work missed may be made up only if the teacher allows. Three tardies are equal to one absence. Attendance is an integral part of the learning experience in this course. Faithful attendance, preparation, and participation are essential to your success in this class and vital to the educational experience of your fellow students. Unless there are extenuating circumstances, 1% will be taken off the final grade for each hour of absence (three tardies equal one absence). Students arriving beyond the first 10 minutes of any class hour will be considered absent.

The topic of discussion for each class period is listed in this syllabus, including instructions regarding the precise nature of required preparation. You are expected to show evidence of this preparation by actively contributing in discussions. You are responsible for knowing the class preparation assignment for the following class period. If you miss class (excused or unexcused) it is your responsibility to find out what is expected in preparation for the next class period.

Be sure you attend class on time and faithfully and submit any valid excuses for absences in writing (via email) prior to missing class, if at all possible.

Academic Integrity

The Seminary expects its students to exhibit rigorous moral integrity appropriate to ministry leaders representing Jesus Christ. Complete honesty in academic matters is a vital component of such integrity. Any breach of academic integrity in this class is subject to discipline. Consequences may include receipt of a reduced or failing grade, suspension or dismissal from the course, suspension or dismissal from the program, expulsion from the university, or degree cancellation. Disciplinary action may be retroactive if academic dishonesty becomes apparent after the student leaves the course, program or university. A record of academic integrity violations is maintained by the University Student Academic Integrity Council. Repeated and/or flagrant offenses will be referred to an Academic Integrity Panel for recommendations on further penalties.

Academic Dishonesty includes:

- Plagiarism in which one fails to give credit every time use is made of another person's ideas or exact words, whether in a formal paper or in submitted notes or assignments. Credit is to be given by use of:
 - Correctly designed and inserted footnotes each time one makes use of another individual's research and/or ideas; and
 - Quotation marks placed around any exact phrases or sentences (3 or more words) taken from the text or speech of another individual.
- Presenting another's work as one's own (e.g., placement exams, homework assignments);

- Using materials during a quiz or examination other than those explicitly allowed by the teacher or program;
- Stealing, accepting, or studying from stolen quizzes or examination materials;
- Copying from another student during a regular or take-home test or quiz;
- Assisting another in acts of academic dishonesty
- Submitting the same work or major portions thereof, without permission from the instructors, to satisfy the requirements of more than one course.

For additional details see: https://www.andrews.edu/academics/academic integrity.html

Academic Accommodations

If you qualify for accommodation under the American Disabilities Act, please see contact Student Success in Nethery Hall 100 (<u>disabilities@andrews.edu</u> or 269-471-6096) as soon as possible so that accommodations can be arranged.

Use of Electronics

No recording or streaming is permitted in seminary courses.

Courtesy, respect, and professionalism dictate that laptops and cell phones are to be used only for class-related activities during class time.

Communications and Updates

Email is the official form of communication at Andrews University. Students are responsible for checking their Andrews University e-mail, Moodle, and iVue alerts regularly.

LearningHub Access

Andrews University Learning Hub hosts this course online. Your Learning Hub username and password are the same as your Andrews username and password. Use the following contact information if you need technical assistance at any time during the course, or to report a problem with LearningHub.

Username and password assistance	helpdesk@andrews.edu	(269) 471-6016
Technical assistance with Learning Hub	dlit@andrews.edu	(269) 471-3960
Technical assistance with your Andrews account	http://andrews.edu/hdchat/chat.php	

Emergency Protocol

Andrews University takes the safety of its student seriously. Signs identifying emergency protocol are posted throughout buildings. Instructors will provide guidance and direction to students in the classroom in the event of an emergency affecting that specific location. It is important that you follow these instructions and stay with your instructor during any evacuation or sheltering emergency.

Please Note: The instructor reserves the right to revise the syllabus, with the consensus of the class, at any time during the semester for the benefit of the learning process. The up-to-date Course Description for this course may be found at www.learninghub.andrews.edu.

APPENDIX 1: INTERPRETING LETTER GRADES

Letter Grades and Percentages

94-100%	Α	83-86%	В	70-75%	C
90-93%	A-	80-82%	B-	63-69%	C-
87-89%	B+	76-79%	C+	50-62%	D

THE B GRADE

The B grade is a sign that you have competently fulfilled all of the requirements stipulated for an assessment or competency evaluation. It is a very good grade and demonstrates a high level of the knowledge, insight, critical competence and professional presentation standards essential for an individual wishing to pursue a career as a professional leader in ministry.

THE A GRADE

An A grade is given only when a student not only fulfills the criteria for a B grade, but in doing so demonstrates an advanced academic aptitude for content knowledge, critique, synthesis and independent insight, while exhibiting highly developed communication skills and professional publication standards that would allow them to pursue a highly competitive academic career.

THE C GRADE

The C grade differs only from a B grade in that the traits outlined in the B grade above are not consistently applied. However, with diligence and by applying feedback from your lecturer, the academic process can provide opportunity for a student to improve their consistency, and hence, their grade.

THE D GRADE

The D grade points to a limited level of knowledge, insight, and critique, as well as to inadequate quality of written work. This may be because of a lack of time management on the part of the student, difficulty grasping the concepts being taught, use of English as a second language, or a personal issue that is affecting one's concentration and motivation levels. Again, with diligence, applying feedback from your lecturer, and seeking services offered by the University like the writing lab or the counseling center, the academic process can provide an opportunity for a student to significantly improve their performance.

THE F GRADE

A failing grade is given when very limited or no demonstrable competency has been observed.

APPENDIX 2: ASSIGNMENT RUBRIC(S)

READING REPORT/JOURNAL RUBRIC

Criteria Category		Meets Standard (5)	(4)	Good (3)	(2)	Does Not Meet Standard (1)	Absent (0)	Score	
75 %	Content	The student exhibited understanding of the material in the summary and critical evaluation. Three significant discussion questions and three points of useful/interesting information show evidence of thoughtful reading and reflection		Some understanding of the material is exhibited in the summary. There are some questions and points of interesting information. Little evidence of thoughtful reading and reflection.		It appears that the material was not carefully read. Questions and points of insight are either missing or poorly done.		Out of 5	Out of 75
25 %	Presentation of the Assignment	It is evident that the journal was composed with care and thoughtfulness. No spelling mistakes. Follows the prescribed format. It is clear that this assignment was not written in the last minute.		The journal is generally well done but includes some spelling and grammatical mistakes, only follows some of the instructions, and shows evidence of being completed in a rushed and halfhearted manner.		The journal looks messy; there are spelling mistakes and major departures from the instructions. It is evident that the student did not spend much time or effort preparing this assignment.		Out of 5	Out of 25

Total (out of 100):

THEOLOGY FOR THE CHURCH PROJECT RUBRIC

Criteria Meets Standard (5) (4) Category		Good (3)	(2)	Does Not Meet Standard (1)	Absent (0)	Score			
10 %	Topic and Purpose Statements	Clearly and concisely states the project's topic and purpose, which is well-defined, engaging, and thought provoking.		Clearly states project's topic and purpose.		Topic and purpose are ambiguous and not well-defined		Out of 5	Out of 5
10 %	Discussion of Audience	Clear discussion of the target audience, showing the student has a clear focus for the project.		Statement of target audience that shows some focus on audience.		Statement does not delineate target audience from general audience.		Out of 5	Out of 10
35 %	Methodology Statement	The statement provides an excellent explanation and plan for how the topic will be translated to the level of average church members, with specific target audience in mind. The statement is of appropriate length and actionable.		The statement provides an adequate explanation and plan of how the topic will be translated to the level of average church members and the statement is of appropriate length.		The statement provides an inadequate explanation of how the topic will be translated to the level of average church members.		Out of 5	Out of 35

35 %	Annotated Outline and Projected Schedule of Program	The outline shows evidence of careful thought and is annotated, providing a clear description of what will be accomplished and how in each stage of the program. The schedule clearly shows the flow of the projected program.	The outline is annotated, providing a description of what will be accomplished and how in each stage of the program. The schedule shows the flow of the projected program.	The outline describes what will be accomplished in each stage of the program and includes a schedule.	Out of 5	Out of 35
10 %	Selected Bibliography	Presented in the correct format and includes 10+ selected sources that will be of use for the program.	Presented in the correct format and includes 5+ selected sources that will be of use for the program.	Includes a few selected sources that will be of use for the program.	Out of 5	Out of 10

Total (out of 100):

APPENDIX 3: PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES

MASTERS PROGRAMS

1. MA in Pastoral Ministry (MAPM)

- 1) Deliver effective biblically-based sermons
- 2) Demonstrate proper biblical interpretation skills
- 3) Understand the historical-theological development of the Seventh-day Adventist Church
- 4) Exhibit capability for training church members for evangelism
- 5) Demonstrate an understanding of how to empower church members for leadership
- 6) Exhibit capability for reaching specific social groups

2. Master of Divinity (MDiv)

- (Character) Models spiritual humility, maturity and integrity grounded in a living experience with God in joyful assurance of His salvation, nurtured by the sanctifying presence and power of the Holy Spirit.
- 2) (Scholarship) Manifests the practices of a Biblical scholar-theologian engaging the Bible, Christian/Adventist heritage and professional resources with theological maturity for personal growth and for facilitating the theological competence of others.
- 3) (Discipleship & Evangelism) <u>Demonstrates personal commitment, passion and essential skills for discipleship and evangelism</u>, while equipping members to carry out ministry within the scope of the local and global mission of the Seventh-day Adventist church.

- 4) (Leadership) Exercises creative and visionary leadership as a minister and servant of Christ, discerning the needs, spiritual gifts and potential of others, in order to equip and engage in their God-given ministries.
- 5) (Worship) <u>Facilitates enriching corporate worship</u> that brings diverse peoples into the transforming presence of God.
- 6) (Administration/Management) <u>Engages the abilities of self and others to strategically steward</u> personal and corporate resources including time, health, finances, property and service in areas of spiritual giftedness.
- 7) (Relationships) <u>Models effective relationships</u> with people of diverse cultures, backgrounds, character, and persuasions, reflecting the wisdom, compassion, and discernment of Jesus through the work of the Spirit.

APPENDIX 4: BIBLIOGRAPHY OF RECOMMENDED BOOKS & RESOURCES

- Acolatse, Esther. *Powers, Principalities, and the Spirit: Biblical Realism in Africa and the West.*Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2018.
- Alston, William. "Divine and Human Action." In *Divine and Human Action: Essays in the Metaphysics of Theism*, edited by Thomas V. Morris, 257-280. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press, 1988.
- Baggett, David and Jerry L. Walls. *Good God: The Theistic Foundations of Morality*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2011.
- Beilby, James K. and Paul R. Eddy, eds. *Divine Foreknowledge: Four Views*. Downers Grove, Ill.: InterVarsity Press, 2001.
- Bloesch, Donald G. *God, the Almighty: Power, Wisdom, Holiness, Love*. Downers Grove, Ill.: InterVarsity Press, 2006.
- Boyd, Gregory A. *God at War: The Bible & Spiritual Conflict*. Downer's Grove, Ill.: InterVarsity Press, 1997.
- Boyd, Gregory A. *Satan and the Problem of Evil: Constructing a Trinitarian Warfare Theodicy*. Downers Grove, Ill.: InterVarsity Press, 2001.
- Brand, Leonard. Faith, Reason, & Earth History: A Paradigm of Earth and Biological Origins by Intelligent Design. 2nd ed. Berrien Springs, Mich.: Andrews University Press, 2009.
- Brümmer, Vincent. *The Model of Love: A Study in Philosophical Theology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- Cahn, Steven M. and David Shatz, eds. *Contemporary Philosophy of Religion*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1982.
- Canale, Fernando. A Criticism of Theological Reason: Time and Timelessness as Primordial Presuppositions. Berrien Springs, MI: Andrews University Press, 1987.
- Canale, Fernando. "Doctrine of God." In *Handbook of Seventh-Day Adventist Theology*, edited by Raoul Dederen. Hagerstown, MD: Review and Herald, 2000.
- Canale, Fernando. Back to Revelation-Inspiration: Searching for the Cognitive Foundation of Christian Theology in a Postmodern World. Lanham, MD: University Press of America, 2001.

- Canale, Fernando. *Basic Elements of Christian Theology*. Berrien Springs, MI: Andrews University Lithotech, 2005.
- Canale, Fernando. *Creation, Evolution, and Theology*. Berrien Springs, Mich.: Andrews University Lithotech, 2005.
- Carson, Donald A. The Difficult Doctrine of the Love of God. Wheaton, Ill.: Crossway, 2000.
- Clouzet, Ron E. M. *Adventism's Greatest Need: The Outpouring of the Holy Spirit*. Nampa, Idaho: Pacific Press Pub. Association, 2011.
- Cone, James. God of the Oppressed. New York: Seabury Press, 1975.
- Cooper, John W. *Panentheism: The Other God of the Philosophers*. Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker Academic, 2006.
- Craig, William Lane. The Only Wise God: The Compatibility of Divine Foreknowledge and Human Freedom. Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker Book House, 1987.
- Craig, William Lane. *Divine Foreknowledge and Human Freedom: The Coherence of Freedom.*New York: Leiden, 1991.
- Craig, William Lane. The Kalam Cosmological Argument. Eugene, Oreg.: Wipf & Stock, 2000.
- Craig, William Lane. *Time and Eternity: Exploring God's Relationship to Time*. Wheaton, Ill.: Crossway Books, 2001.
- Craig, William Lane. "The Coherence of Theism: Introduction." In *Philosophy of Religion: A Reader and Guide*, edited by William Lane Craig, 203-215. New Brunswick, N.J.: Rutgers UP, 2002.
- Dederen, Raoul, ed. *Handbook of Seventh-Day Adventist Theology*, Commentary Reference Series. Hagerstown, MD: Review & Herald, 2000.
- Erickson, Millard J. Christian Theology. 3rd ed. Grand Rapids: Baker, 2013.
- Erickson, Millard J. *God in Three Persons: A Contemporary Interpretation of the Trinity*. Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker Books, 1995.
- Erickson, Millard J. *God the Father Almighty: A Contemporary Exploration of the Divine Attributes*. Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker Books, 1998.
- Erickson, Millard J. What Does God Know and When Does He Know It? Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2003.

- Erickson, Millard J. Who's Tampering with the Trinity? An Assessment of the Subordination Debate. Grand Rapids, Mich.: Kregel Academic, 2009.
- Flint, Thomas P. "Divine Providence." In *The Oxford Handbook of Philosophical Theology*, edited by Thomas P. Flint and Michael C. Rea, 262-285. New York: Oxford University Press, 2008.
- Froom, Le Roy Edwin. *The Coming of the Comforter*. Takoma Park, Washington, D.C., Peekskill, N.Y. etc.: Review and herald publishing association, 1928.
- Ganssle, Gregory E., ed. God & Time: Four Views. Downers Grove, Ill.: InterVarsity Press, 2001.
- Gavrilyuk, Paul L. *The Suffering of the Impassible God: The Dialectics of Patristic Thought* The Oxford Early Christian Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004.
- González, Justo. *Mañana: Christian Theology from a Hispanic Perspective*. Nashville, TN: Abingdon, 1990.
- Griffin, David Ray, John B. Cobb and Clark H. Pinnock, eds. *Searching for an Adequate God: A Dialogue between Process and Free Will Theists*. Grand Rapids, Mich.: Eerdmans, 2000.
- Gulley, Norman R. *Systematic Theology: Prolegomena*. Berrien Springs, Mich.: Andrews University Press, 2003.
- Gulley, Norman R. *Systematic Theology: God as Trinity*. Berrien Springs, Mich.: Andrews University Press, 2011.
- Hahn, Scott. Kinship by Covenant: A Canonical Approach to the Fulfillment of God's Saving Promises. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2009.
- Hartshorne, Charles. *Omnipotence and Other Theological Mistakes*. Albany: State University of New York, 1984.
- Hasker, William. *God, Time, and Knowledge* Cornell Studies in the Philosophy of Religion. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1989.
- Henry, Carl F. H. God, Revelation, and Authority. 6 vols. Waco, Tex.: Word Books, 1976.
- Holmes, Stephen R. *The Quest for the Trinity: The Doctrine of God in Scripture, History, and Modernity*. Downers Grove, Ill.: IVP Academic, 2012.
- Horton, Michael Scott. *The Christian Faith: A Systematic Theology for Pilgrims on the Way.*Grand Rapids, Mich.: Zondervan, 2011.

- Jowers, Dennis, ed. Four Views on Divine Providence. Grand Rapids, Mich.: Zondervan, 2011.
- Lewis, C. S. *The Complete C.S. Lewis Signature Classics*. 1st ed. San Francisco, Calif.: HarperSanFrancisco, 2002.
- Lister, Rob. *God Is Impassible and Impassioned: Toward a Theology of Divine Emotion.*Wheaton, Ill.: Crossway, 2013.
- McCall, Thomas H. and Michael C. Rea, eds. *Philosophical and Theological Essays on the Trinity*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2009.
- Meister, Chad and James K. Dew. *God and Evil: The Case for God in a World Filled with Pain*. Downers Grove, Illinois: IVP Books, 2013.
- Moreland, James Porter and William Lane Craig. *Philosophical Foundations for a Christian Worldview*. Downers Grove, Ill.: InterVarsity Press, 2003.
- Nash, Ronald H. The Concept of God. Grand Rapids, Mich.: Zondervan, 1983.
- Nash, Ronald H., ed. *Process Theology*. Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker, 1987.
- Norton, David L. and Mary F. Kille, eds. Philosophies of Love. San Francisco: Chandler, 1971.
- Nygren, Anders. Agape and Eros. Translated by Philip S. Watson. London: S.P.C.K., 1953.
- Oden, Thomas C. *The Living God*. San Francisco: Harper & Row, 1987.
- Oord, Thomas Jay. The Nature of Love: A Theology. St. Louis: Chalice Press, 2010.
- Osborne, Grant R. *The Hermeneutical Spiral: A Comprehensive Introduction to Biblical Interpretation*. Rev. and expanded, 2nd ed. Downers Grove, Ill.: InterVarsity Press, 2006.
- Peckham, John C. "An Investigation of Luther's View of the Bondage of the Will with Implications for Soteriology and Theodicy." *Journal of the Adventist Theological Society* 18, no. 2 (2007): 274-304.
- Peckham, John C. "The Passible Potter and the Contingent Clay: A Theological Study of Jeremiah 18:1-10." *Journal of the Adventist Theological Society* 18, no. 1 (2007): 130-150.
- Peckham, John C. *The Concept of Divine Love in the Context of the God-World Relationship*. New York: Peter Lang, 2014.
- Peckham, John C. The Love of God: A Canonical Model. Downers Grove, Ill.: IVP Academic, 2015.

- Peckham, John C. "Providence and God's Unfulfilled Desires." *Philosophia Christi* 15, no. 2 (2013): 453-62.
- Peckham, John C. *Theodicy of Love: Cosmic Conflict and the Problem of Evil*. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2018.
- Peckham, John C. Why We Pray: Understanding Prayer in the Context of Cosmic Conflict. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2024.
- Perszyk, Kenneth J., ed. *Molinism: The Contemporary Debate*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2011.
- Pfandl, Gerhard, ed. Interpreting Scripture. Silver Spring, MD: Biblical Research Institute, 2010.
- Pinnock, Clark H., Richard Rice, John Sanders, William Hasker, David Basinger. *The Openness of God: A Biblical Challenge to the Traditional Understanding of God*. Downers Grove, Ill.: InterVarsity Press, 1994.
- Plantinga, Alvin. *The Nature of Necessity* Clarendon Library of Logic and Philosophy. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1974.
- Plantinga, Alvin. God, Freedom, and Evil. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1977.
- Plantinga, Alvin. Does God Have a Nature? Milwaukee: Marquette UP, 1980.
- Richards, Jay Wesley. *The Untamed God: A Philosophical Exploration of Divine Perfection, Immutability, and Simplicity*. Downers Grove, III.: InterVarsity Press, 2003.
- Rock, Calvin B. *Protest & Progress: Black Seventh-day Adventist Leadership and the Push for Parity*, Berrien Springs, MI: Andrews University Press, 2018.
- Rogers, Katherin A. *Perfect Being Theology*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2000.
- Schreiner, Thomas R. and Bruce A. Ware, eds. *Still Sovereign: Contemporary Perspectives on Election, Foreknowledge, and Grace*. Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker Book House, 2000.
- Stump, Eleonore. *The God of the Bible and the God of the Philosophers*. Marquette: Marquette University Press, 2016.
- Swinburne, Richard. *The Coherence of Theism*. Rev. ed. Clarendon Library of Logic and Philosophy. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1993.

- Vanhoozer, Kevin J., ed. *Nothing Greater, Nothing Better: Theological Essays on the Love of God*. Grand Rapids, Mich.: Eerdmans, 2001.
- Vanhoozer, Kevin J. *Remythologizing Theology: Divine Action, Passion, and Authorship*Cambridge Studies in Christian Doctrine. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- Walls, Jerry L. "Why No Classical Theist, Let Alone Orthodox Christian, Should *Ever* Be a Compatibilist." *Philosophia Christi* 13, no. 1 (2011): 75-104.
- Walton, John H. *Covenant: God's Purpose, God's Plan*. Grand Rapids, Mich.: Zondervan Pub. House, 1994.
- Ware, Bruce A. *God's Greater Glory: The Exalted God of Scripture and the Christian Faith*. Wheaton, Ill.: Crossway Books, 2004.
- Ware, Bruce A., Paul Helm, Roger E. Olson and John Sanders. *Perspectives on the Doctrine of God: 4 Views*. Nashville, Tenn.: B & H Academic, 2008.
- Webster, J. B., Kathryn Tanner and Iain R. Torrance, eds. *The Oxford Handbook of Systematic Theology*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2007.
- Wolterstorff, Nicholas. *Divine Discourse: Philosophical Reflections on the Claim That God Speaks*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- Wolterstorff, Nicholas. "Suffering Love." In *Augustine's Confessions: Critical Essays*, edited by William E. Mann, 107-146. Oxford: Rowman & Littlefield, 2006.
- Wynkoop, Mildred Bangs. *A Theology of Love: The Dynamic of Wesleyanism*. Kansas City: Beacon Hill Press, 1972.

For additional books and articles, see also the Seminary Library Portal at http://libguides.andrews.edu/religion.